Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å²)

$U_{\text{eq}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Osl	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0238 (2)
C11	0.2577 (1)	0.0244 (1)	-0.0760(1)	0.0360 (6)
P1	0.0343 (1)	0.1507(1)	0.0901 (1)	0.0287 (6)
P2	0.0212(1)	0.2115 (1)	-0.1584(1)	0.0311 (6)
Cl	0.1064 (4)	0.3268 (3)	-0.0266 (3)	0.0413 (28
C2	0.1454 (3)	0.3310 (3)	-0.1521 (3)	0.0385 (26
C11	-0.1125 (3)	0.2036 (3)	0.1900 (3)	0.0336 (25
C12	-0.1016 (4)	0.2347 (3)	0.2828 (3)	0.0440 (30
C13	-0.2149 (5)	0.2809 (4)	0.3503 (3)	0.0594 (39
C14	-0.3387 (4)	0.2980 (4)	0.3250 (4)	0.0651 (44
C15	-0.3484 (4)	0.2736 (4)	0.2307 (4)	0.0631 (46
C16	-0.2361 (4)	0.2270 (4)	0.1628 (4)	0.0500 (36
C21	0.1623 (3)	0.1060 (3)	0.1676 (3)	0.0359 (27
C22	0.3053 (4)	0.1476 (4)	0.1047 (3)	0.0488 (36
C23	0.4060 (4)	0.1031 (5)	0.1581 (5)	0.0665 (52
C24	0.3626 (5)	0.0161 (5)	0.2765 (5)	0.0764 (64
C25	0.2211 (5)	-0.0228 (4)	0.3406 (4)	0.0656 (48
C26	0.1194 (4)	0.0200 (3)	0.2866 (3)	0.0456 (33
C31	-0.1373 (3)	0.3014 (3)	-0.1578(3)	0.0375 (26
C32	-0.2537 (4)	0.2338 (4)	-0.1589 (3)	0.0469 (32
C33	-0.3776 (4)	0.2947 (4)	-0.1558 (4)	0.0589 (40
C34	-0.3882(5)	0.4238 (5)	-0.1510 (4)	0.0674 (46
C35	-0.2740 (5)	0.4928 (4)	-0.1498 (4)	0.0633 (43
C36	-0.1485 (4)	0.4336 (4)	-0.1543 (3)	0.0498 (34
C41	0.0996 (4)	0.2277 (3)	-0.3123(3)	0.0383 (26
C42	0.0510 (5)	0.3154 (4)	-0.4010 (3)	0.0564 (36
C43	0.1111 (6)	0.3214 (5)	-0.5156 (3)	0.0721 (45
C44	0.2175 (5)	0.2423 (4)	-0.5438 (3)	0.0661 (40
C45	0.2666 (4)	0.1557 (4)	-0.4574 (3)	0.0542 (35
C46	0.2079 (4)	0.1486 (3)	-0.3423 (3)	0.0434 (29
C12S†	0.5340 (4)	0.3950 (4)	0.5889 (2)	0.1906 (51
C3S ‡	0.4164	0.4751	0.5144	0.0600

† Site occupancy refined to 0.928 (6). ‡ Site occupancy refined to 0.464 (3).

Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

		•	
Os1-Cl1	2.434 (1)	P1-C21	1.832 (3)
Os1-P1	2.372(1)	P2-C2	1.833 (3)
Os1-P2	2.348 (1)	P2-C31	1.826 (3)
P1C1	1.867 (3)	P2-C41	1.831 (3)
P1	1.839 (3)		
C11-Os1-P1	83.1(1)	C1-P1-C11	97.7 (1)
Cl1-Os1-P2	85.2 (1)	C1-P1-C21	104.3 (1)
P1-Os1-P2	81.9(1)	C11-P1-C21	103.3 (1)
Os1-P1-C1	109.0(1)	C2-P2-C31	104.8 (1)
Os1-P1-C11	123.1(1)	C2-P2-C41	101.2 (1)
Os1-P1-C21	116.5 (1)	C31-P2-C41	102.8 (1)
Os1-P2-C2	106.4 (1)	P1-C1-C2	113.4 (2)
Os1-P2-C31	117.7(1)	P2-C2-C1	110.6 (2)
Os1-P2-C41	121.7 (1)		. ,
P1-C1-C2-P2	35.4 (3)		

Crystals were grown by vapour diffusion of Et_2O into a CH_2Cl_2 solution of the compound and mounted in glass capillaries. Five reflections thought to be suffering from extinction were excluded. For the disordered solvent molecule (CH_2Cl_2), isotropic C3S was not refined and no H atoms were included. The remaining H-atom positions were determined from electron-density maps but not refined. Data collection: *Enraf–Nonius Structure Determination Package* (Frenz, 1985). Cell refinement: *Enraf–Nonius Structure Determination Package*. Data reduction: *Enraf–Nonius Structure Determination Package*. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: *OR-TEP*II (Johnson, 1976).

We thank the SERC and BP Chemicals Ltd for financial support (NRC) and Dr D. C. Povey of the University of Surrey for the X-ray data collection.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71319 (32 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HA1057]

References

Champness, N. R., Levason, W., Pletcher, D., Spicer, M. D. & Webster, M. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2201-2207.

- Cotton, F. A., Diebold, M. P. & Matusz, M. (1987). Polyhedron, 6, 1131-1134.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Lobana, T. S., Singh, R. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1990). J. Coord. Chem. 21, 225-229.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1885-1892

Structures of Two Encapsulated Cobalt(III) Complexes Containing cyclo-Triphosphate

ALESSIA BACCHI

Istituto di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Universitá degli Studi di Parma, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Viale delle Scienze 78, I-43100 Parma, Italy

FRANCESCO FERRANTI

Dipartimento di Chimica Fisica ed Inorganica, Universitá degli Studi di Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 4, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

GIANCARLO PELIZZI

Istituto di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Universitá degli Studi di Parma, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Viale delle Scienze 78, I-43100 Parma, Italy

(Received 10 February 1993; accepted 28 April 1993)

Abstract

The conformational and packing properties of two encapsulated cobalt(III) complexes containing *cyclo*triphosphate have been investigated. Compound (I),

(1.3.6.8.10.13.16.19-octaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosane)cobalt(III) cyclo-triphosphate-water (2/7) has the trivial name cobalt(III) sepulchrate cvclo-triphosphate-water (2/7) and chemical formula $[Co(sep)](P_3O_9).3.5H_2O$. The cation shows an lel3 geometry very similar to those found in other sepulchrate complexes. The packing motif is given by one-dimensional chains of alternating cations and anions running along the z direction and cross-linked by hydrogen-bonded water molecules. Compound (II) is [tris(aminoethylaminomethyl)amine]tris(ethylenediamine)dicobalt(III) di(cyclo-triphosphate) octahydrate, and has the chemical formula [Co(sep')(P₃O₉)][Co(sep')_{0.72}- $(en_3)_{0.28}(P_3O_9)$].8H₂O (where sep' = C₉H₂₇N₇). Pseudotranslational symmetry is found, perturbed by the substitutional disorder sep'/en₃. B-centering is therefore simulated involving the anions and water molecules. The crystal packing is similar to that found for compound (I), but the regularity of the chains is broken by the disorder.

Comment

Sepulchrate (sep) and semisepulchrate (sep') are polydentate ligands able to encapsulate metal ions by means of coordination by six amine N atoms.



The X-ray structural aspects of sepulchrate complexes have been studied in previous works (Bacchi, Ferranti & Pelizzi, 1993, and references therein), whereas up to now the X-ray structure of only one tris(aminoethylaminomethyl)amine (semisepulchrate) complex has been determined (Arkowska, Grazynska, Kubiak & Paulus, 1989a), though the structure of a closely related compound is also known (Arkowska, Grazynska, Kubiak & Paulus, 1989b). The short-range geometry of the cation $Co(sep)^{3+}$ is shown to be quite insensitive to its structural surroundings and the crystal packing is determined mainly by the dimensions and geometry of the counter anions. The semisepulchrate cage is expected to be less rigid as it is much more reactive than the parent sepulchrate molecule. The conformational and packing properties of [Co(sep)](P₃O₉).3.5H₂O (I) and of $[Co(sep')(P_3O_9)][Co(sep')_{0.72}(en_3)_{0.28}(P_3O_9)].8H_2O$ (II) are reported here.

Compound (I)

Fig. 1 shows the cation Co(sep)³⁺ hydrogen bonded to its neighboring anions and water molecules. The

cation shows the common lel_3 conformation approaching D_3 pseudosymmetry. Its molecular geometry has been compared with those found for *rac*-[Co(sep)]Cl₃ and [Co(sep)(ClO₄)(S₂O₃)] (Bacchi, Ferranti & Pelizzi, 1993) by performing half-normal probability plot analyses (Abrahams & Keve, 1971) on bond angles and interatomic distances between 1.0 and 9.9 Å for all the non-H atoms. The resulting linear-regression parameters show that there are no significant differences among the three compounds. These results are in accordance with those observed previously, confirming that the sepulchrate cage behaves as an undeformable entity even when inserted in different packing environments, namely one-, two- and three-dimensional strong hydrogen-bonded networks involving Cl⁻, S₂O₃²⁻ and ClO₄⁻, and P₃O₃³⁻, respectively.

The anion assumes a twisted boat conformation, with a slight distortion towards boat; the puckering parameters (Nardelli, 1983; following Cremer & Pople, 1975) are $Q_T = 0.579(8)$ Å, $\theta_2 = 92.2(8)$, $\varphi_2 =$ 79 (1)°. The unit cell also contains 3.5 water molecules, O10, O11, O12 and O13 (disordered about an inversion center). Each cation interacts with two anions and one water molecule (O11) by means of hydrogen bonds: N2···O6, 2.86 (1); N4···O6, 2.89 (1); N5···O1ⁱⁱⁱ, 2.93 (1); N3···O1ⁱⁱⁱ, 2.85 (2) Å [(iii) = x, $-y - \frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$]; N1···O11, 2.96 (1); N6···O11, 2.83 (2) Å. The distorsions in the geometry of the $Co(sep)(P_3O_9)_2.(H_2O)$ moiety from ideal D_3 symmetry are of the same type as those found for the Co(sep)(Cl₃) moieties in rac-[Co(sep)](Cl₃).H₂O. They are mainly due to the different environments experienced by the three hydrogen acceptors O6, O1 and O11. O6 completes its tetrahedral environment by interacting with the water molecule O12 $[O6 \cdots O12, 2.94(2) \text{ Å}]$ and closely approximates the ideal position for D_3 geometry; O1 shows a larger distortion because of the effects of steric repulsion by C6 [O1...C6^{viii}, 3.27 (2) Å; (viii) = $x, -y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$]



Fig. 1. ORTEP view of compound (I) with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids for the Co(sep)(P₃O₉)₂(H₂O) moiety. Methylenic H atoms have been omitted.

and the weak hydrogen-bond attraction C8-H...O1" $[C8\cdots O1^{iii}, 3.30(2)]$ Å; (iii) = x, $-y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}]$. The largest deviations from D_3 symmetry are associated with the O11 atom because of its strong hydrogen bonds with the anion $[O11 \cdots O2^{iv}, 2.73(1) \text{ Å}; (iv) = x, y + 1, z]$ and O10 [O11...O10ⁱ, 2.73 (1) Å; (i) = $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$] as these weaken and distort its interactions with the cation. The full list of the hydrogen bonds formed by the cation is given in Table 3. Note that the anionic O atoms also make use of O···H-C interactions to complete their coordination geometry. Considering the direct anion-cation interactions $[O6 \cdots (N2, N4) \text{ and } O1 \cdots (N3, N5)^{\text{viii}}; (\text{viii}) = x,$ $-y - \frac{1}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$], one may identify a zigzag-polymeric onedimensional connection along the z direction (Fig. 2). The interactions among the subunits $[P_3O_9^{3-}\cdots Co(sep)^{3+}]$ are related by the symmetry operations $(x, y, z - \frac{n}{2})$ for *n* even or $(x, -y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{n}{2})$ for *n* odd. These chains are crosslinked by hydrogen-bonded water molecules.



Fig. 2. Crystal packing of compound (I). Methylenic H atoms have been omitted.

Compound (II)

The first data collection gave the cell (I): a = 13.664 (2), b = 11.552 (2), c = 14.620 (2) Å, $\beta = 103.55$ (4)°, V = 2243.5 (6) Å³, Z = 4 (monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$). The structure was solved by the heavy-atom technique of *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1986) and refined with *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976) to R = 7.45% (S = 3.73). Substitutional disorder was found for the cation, involving the simultaneous presence of the Co(sep')³⁺ and Co(en)³⁺ cations, with occupancies of 80 and 20%, respectively. Correspondingly, the $P_3O_9^{3-}$ anion showed two conformations. giving a positional disorder. Four water molecules are present in the unit cell. The correlation between the substitutional disorder of the cations and the conformational changes of the anions led us to suspect the presence of two different cationic sites, occupied by Co(sep') and $Co(en)_3$. respectively, and related by a pseudo-translational symmetry which could give relatively strong subcell reflections. In this case the disorder would be due to the overlap of the two images situated erroneously in the subcell. A second cell determination was carried out which revealed the presence of some weak reflections indicating a new cell: a = 17.494(7), b = 11.548(5), c = 22.21(1) Å, $\beta =$ 93.94 (1)° [cell (II)]. The matrix R = [101/0 - 10/10 - 1]transforms cell (I) into cell (II) and the symmetry operations of $P2_1/n$ are changed into those of $P2_1/a$ by $(R^{-1})^T$. where T denotes transposition. R corresponds to a centering operation and it must be stressed that collecting data in the cell (II) primitive reciprocal lattice allows us to detect the (h + l odd) reflections which were neglected by the previous data collection, because in the cell (I) reciprocal lattice they would have non-integer indexes.

A new data collection was performed which led to the following statistics: (1) hkl, h + l odd few observed, systematically weak; (2) h0l, h odd absent; (3) 0k0, k odd absent; (4) h0l, h + l odd absent; (5) h0l, l odd absent. Group (1) is the pseudo-centering condition which, if completely satisfied, would cause cell (II) to collapse into cell (I): groups (2) and (3) are the typical extinction conditions for $P2_1/a$; groups (4) and (5) are pseudo-extinctions deriving from the pseudo-centering operation which, if performed on the coordinates in $P2_1/a$, simulates $P2_1/c$ and $P2_1/n$ symmetries. So the disordered molecule lying at (x, x)y, z) in cell (I) can be split into two molecules at (X, Y, Y)Z) and $(X + \frac{1}{2}, Y, Z + \frac{1}{2})$ in cell (II), where $(X Y Z)^* =$ $(T^{-1})^* (x y z)^*$. The two molecules must be slightly different to destroy the crystallographic translational symmetry. The coordinates of CoA of cell (II) were obtained by appropriately transforming the coordinates of Co of cell (I) and the coordinates of CoB were obtained by performing the B-centering on the coordinates of CoA. The remaining non-H atoms were located by successive Fourier and $\Delta \bar{F}$ calculations combined with least-squares refinements on F^2 . The final R value, calculated on the observed reflections so it may be compared with the R value from the refinement of cell (I), is 6.54% (S = 1.336). The disorder Co(sep')/Co(en)₃ does not vanish with the new cell setting, but it is confined to only four sites out of the eight belonging to the pseudo-centered cell, i.e. the cationic site at (X, Y, Z) is fully occupied by Co(sep')³⁺ (cation A), while the site at $(X + \frac{1}{2}, Y, Z + \frac{1}{2})$ is occupied by 72% of Co(sep')³⁺ (cation B) and by 28% of $Co(en)_3^{3+}$ (cation B'). The anions show the same distribution where $P_3O_9^{3-}(A)$ has a site occupancy of 100%, while the corresponding pseudo-B-centered anion is disordered

on $P_3O_9^{3-}$ (B), 72%, and (B'), 28%. The simultaneous presence of a B cation and a B' anion is excluded by the distance O1B'... N7B (1.48 Å) being too short. The eight water molecules present in the unit cell are also paired by the pseudo-centering. The approximations of this model leave a non-negligible unexplained electronic density of about 1.4 e Å⁻³ in the region of the disordered moieties (1.45 Å from O1B), but the residual electronic density is even higher for the refinement in cell (I) (1.67 e Å⁻³, 1.85 Å from Co).

Geometric parameters for compound (II) are reported in Table 5. Fig. 3 shows how the cation A is hydrogen bonded to anions and water molecules and Fig. 4 shows the substitutional disorder involving molecules B and B'. A statistical comparison based on the χ^2 criterion of the positional parameters of the atoms in cations



Fig. 3. ORTEP view of compound (II) with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids for cation A hydrogen bonded with surrounding A and B anions and water molecules. Methylenic H atoms have been omitted.



Fig. 4. Overlapped images of molecules B and B' of compound (II). B' atoms with 28% occupancy and relative bonds are labeled and represented with small spheres and light sticks. H atoms have been omitted.

A and B (PARST; Nardelli, 1983) showed that the two cations are not significantly different. A similar comparison has shown that for the anions, the pseudo-centering condition does not hold accurately because of remarkable differences in the Y orthogonal coordinates of the atoms in the A and B anions, if they are superimposed. This suggests that, although much more reactive than the parent sepulchrate compounds, once inserted in a crystalline lattice, semisepulchrate cationic complexes are also relatively rigid.

Under this assumption, a qualitative explanation can be attempted for the different behavior of cations and anions towards the requirements of pseudo-centering. The substitutional disorder of cations B/B' lowers the lattice symmetry, removing 28% of the B-centering, so causing a distortion in the environment of the B and B' anions. Consequently, the flexible anions modify their conformation to optimize the crystal packing and a larger amount of Bcentering is lost for the anionic sites. Nevertheless, a certain similarity in the geometry of anions A and B is maintained: they both show a conformation between chair and half boat, while the anion B' adopts a boat geometry with some half-boat character. The puckering parameters for the anions in cells (I) and (II) are reported in Table 6. The values relative to cell (I) show how the A conformation in (I) is an almost perfect average of A and B conformations in (II), while the anion B' image is essentially independent of the cell choice. The cations A, B and B' show the lel_3 conformation and bond distances and angles are typical for these systems. The geometry of the cation A has been compared with that of the common molecular fragment present in the $Co(sep)^{3+}$ cation of $[Co(sep)(ClO_4)(S_2O_3)]$ (which adopts crystallographic D_3 symmetry), to which it is essentially identical. Molecules A and B have similar packing patterns, as shown by the list of selected hydrogen bonds in Table 7. The atoms O1A and O1B are most involved in the anionic interactions with the cations. since they cap the N4A-N1A-N6A and N4B-N1B-N6B faces of the semisepulchrate cage, respectively. With the substitution of cation B for cation B', the O1'B' atom is pulled towards the N2'B-N3'B-N6'B' hydrogen-bond acceptor system and consequently the conformation of the anion changes remarkably. The packing motif can be described by taking the ionic pairs $[Co(sep') \cdots P_3O_9]^{A,B}$ as the fundamental subunits. Polymeric one-dimensional zigzag A and B chains are generated along the Y direction by the hydrogen-bond interactions among subunits corre-lated by the operations $(\frac{1}{2} - X + m, Y - \frac{n}{2}, 1 - Z + m)$ for n odd (m = 0 for molecule A, m = 1 for molecule B) and $(X, Y - \frac{n}{2}, Z)$ for *n* even. The crystal packing is shown in Fig. 5. The regularity of the *B* chains is perturbed by the uneven substitution sep//en3, leading to the deformation of B and B' anions as discussed previously. The A chains are linked together by the water molecules O12, O13, O14, O15 through a network of hydrogen bonds; the same topology applies for the B chains and O10, O11, O16,

O17. The linkages are mainly due to interactions between water molecules and phosphate anions. A and B chains are directly linked by the N2^{*A*,*B*}···O6^{*B*,*A*} and N6^{*A*,*B*}···O5^{*B*,*A*} hydrogen bonds.



Fig. 5. Crystal packing of compound (II). Empty circles represent atoms N7, C7, C8 and C9 of molecule B, with 72% occupancy, water molecules and Co atoms. H atoms have been omitted, as well as atoms with 28% occupancy in cation B'.

Experimental

Compound (I)

Crystal data $[Co(C_{12}H_{30}N_8)](P_3O_9).3.5H_2O$ $D_x = 1.6893$ Mg m⁻³ $M_r = 645.32$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 30 $P2_1/c$ reflections a = 15.887 (3) Å $\theta = 9 - 17^{\circ}$ *b* = 9.858 (2) Å $\mu = 0.9313 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 17.568 (3) Å T = 293 K $\beta = 112.75 (2)^{\circ}$ Irregular prisms V = 2537.3 (9) Å³ $0.60 \times 0.26 \times 0.23$ mm Z = 4Yellow

Data collection Siemens AED diffractometer $\theta_{max} = 23^{\circ}$ $h = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $\omega/2\theta$ scans $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$ Absorption correction: $l = 0 \rightarrow 19$ none 3930 measured reflections 1 standard reflection 3561 independent reflections monitored every 50 1847 observed reflections $[I > 1.5\sigma(I)]$ intensity variation: none $R_{\rm int} = 0.0294$

Refinement

		P1-01	1.47 (1)	N5—C9
Kennement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.053$	P1O2	1.47 (1)	N5-C12
Final $R = 0.0581$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.56 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	P1O3	1.594 (9)	N6—C8
wR = 0.0644	$\Delta a_{\lambda} = -0.44 \text{ a}^{\lambda-3}$	P104	1.613 (8)	N6—C10
	$\Delta p_{\rm min} = -0.44 \ {\rm CA}$	P2—O4	1.602 (8)	N7—C1
5 = 3.208	Extinction correction: none	P2-05	1.452 (8)	N7—C2

reflections

1768 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
458 parameters	from International Tables
All H-atom parameters re-	for X-ray Crystallogra-
fined except for H1N,	phy [1974, Vol. IV, Tables
H6N and H2O1	2.2A, 2.3.1 (Co, P, O, N,
Unit weights applied	C) and 2.2C (H)]

Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976); ABSORB (Ugozzoli, 1987; according to Walker & Stuart, 1983). Molecular graphics: ORTEP (Johnson, 1965); PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983); ABRAHAMS (Gilli, 1977).

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$ for compound (I)

$U_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$					
	x	у	z	U_{eq}	
Co	0.24706 (11)	0.11638 (17	0.22872 (9)	0.0305 (6)	
P1	0.2585 (2)	-0.3956 (3)	0.4653 (2)	0.0364 (14)	
P2	0.3202 (2)	-0.1204 (4)	0.5067 (2)	0.0394 (13)	
P3	0.1304 (2)	-0.1892 (4)	0.4670 (2)	0.0406 (13)	
01	0.2561 (6)	-0.5064 (9)	0.5207 (5)	0.0523 (42)	
O2	0.2798 (6)	-0.4240 (9)	0.3927 (5)	0.0526 (42)	
03	0.1637 (6)	-0.3167 (9)	0.4298 (6)	0.0600 (42)	
04	0.3259 (5)	-0.2809 (8)	0.5224 (5)	0.0361 (34)	
05	0.3765 (6)	-0.0516 (9)	0.5824 (5)	0.0551 (44)	
06	0.3372 (6)	-0.0912 (9)	0.4302 (5)	0.0572 (45)	
07	0.2157 (6)	-0.0908 (10)	0.4845 (7)	0.0837 (53)	
08	0.1204 (8)	-0.2305 (14)	0.5412 (7)	0.1041 (72)	
09	0.0540 (6)	-0.1282 (10)	0.4016 (5)	0.0624 (41)	
010	-0.0250 (6)	0.1190 (10)	0.3777 (5)	0.0630 (44)	
N1	0.1533 (7)	0.1878 (9)	0.2653 (6)	0.0351 (42)	
N2	0.2252 (7)	-0.0701 (9)	0.2579 (6)	0.0403 (44)	
N3	0.1544 (6)	0.0916 (10)	0.1150 (5)	0.0368 (41)	
N4	0.3355 (6)	0.1566 (9)	0.3416 (6)	0.0353 (40)	
N5	0.3453 (7)	0.0355 (11)	0.2004 (6)	0.0451 (44)	
N6	0.2680 (7)	0.2971 (11)	0.1911 (6)	0.0415 (43)	
N7	0.0646 (7)	-0.0078 (12)	0.1895 (6)	0.0459 (43)	
N8	0.4293 (8)	0.2424 (14)	0.2697 (7)	0.0635 (63)	
CI	0.0641 (8)	0.1108 (17)	0.2339 (7)	0.0514 (54)	
C2	0.1271 (9)	-0.1079 (15)	0.2366 (7)	0.0513 (55)	
C3	0.0677 (8)	0.0174 (14)	0.1099 (7)	0.0463 (55)	
C4	0.1954 (9)	0.1996 (13)	0.3575 (8)	0.0492 (60)	
C5	0.2727 (12)	-0.1643 (15)	0.2226 (8)	0.0629 (76)	
C6	0.1347 (9)	0.2265 (14)	0.0742 (8)	0.0505 (61)	
C7	0.2887 (9)	0.2462 (13)	0.3825 (8)	0.0453 (53)	
C8	0.2245 (9)	0.2976 (14)	0.0985 (8)	0.0516 (60)	
C9	0.3627 (10)	-0.1031 (17)	0.2348 (8)	0.0637 (70)	
C10	0.3660 (10)	0.3407 (16)	0.2248 (9)	0.0645 (71)	
C11	0.4268 (8)	0.2107 (15)	0.3494 (8)	0.0551 (63)	
C12	0.4303 (9)	0.1251 (22)	0.2234 (10)	0.0770 (77)	
011	0.1642 (7)	0.4836 (9)	0.2417 (6)	0.0678 (48)	
012	0.5214 (8)	-0.1638 (13)	0.4429 (7)	0.1036 (66)	
013	0.5366 (11)	0.4374 (19)	0.5872 (12)	0.0589 (93)	
Table	2. Geometr	ic paramete	ers (Å, °) for	compound (I)	
Co-N1		1.97 (1)	N2-C2	1.50(1)	
Co-N2		1.98 (1)	N2-C5	1.48 (2)	
Co-N3		1.986 (8)	N3-C3	1.53 (1)	
Co-N4		1.977 (8)	N3-C6	1.49 (1)	
Co-N5		1.98 (1)	N4-C7	1.50 (1)	
Co-N6		1.97 (1)	N4C11	1.50 (1)	
P1-01		1.47 (1)	N5-C9	1.48 (1)	
P1-O2		1.47(1)	N5-C12	1.53 (2)	

1.50 (1)

1.50(1)

1.41 (2)

1.42(1)

P206 P207 P303 P307 P308 P309 N1C1	1.50 (1) 1.58 (1) 1.60 (1) 1.60 (1) 1.43 (1) 1.441 (8) 1.51 (1)	N7C3 N8C10 N8C11 N8C12 C4C7 C5C9 C6C8	1.44 (1) 1.40 (1) 1.45 (2) 1.42 (2) 1.45 (1) 1.49 (2) 1.50 (1)	$a = 17.494 (7) \text{ \AA}$ $b = 11.548 (5) \text{ \AA}$ $c = 22.21 (1) \text{ \AA}$ $\beta = 93.94 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 4476 (3) \text{ \AA}^{3}$ Z = 4	$\mu = 1.057 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K Irregular prisms $0.71 \times 0.40 \times 0.31 \text{ mm}$ Orange
N1-C4	1.50 (1)				
$N5 - C_0 - N6$ $N4 - C_0 - N6$ $N3 - C_0 - N5$ $N3 - C_0 - N5$ $N3 - C_0 - N4$ $N2 - C_0 - N6$ $N2 - C_0 - N5$ $N2 - C_0 - N3$ $N1 - C_0 - N6$ $N1 - C_0 - N4$ $N1 - C_0 - N4$ $N1 - C_0 - N4$	91.6 (5) 90.5 (4) 90.5 (4) 85.9 (4) 92.7 (4) 175.2 (4) 175.2 (4) 85.7 (5) 93.1 (4) 90.6 (4) 91.9 (4) 175.2 (4) 86.3 (4) 90.7 (4)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Co-N2C5} \\ \text{Co-N2C2} \\ \text{C2N2C5} \\ \text{Co-N3C6} \\ \text{Co-N3C3} \\ \text{C3N3C6} \\ \text{C0N4C11} \\ \text{CoN4C11} \\ \text{CoN4C11} \\ \text{CoN5C12} \\ \text{CoN5C12} \\ \text{CoN5C12} \\ \text{CoN5C12} \\ \text{CoN6C10} \\ \text{CoN6C10} \\ \text{CoN6C8} \end{array}$	107.9 (8) 115.9 (7) 113 (1) 108.1 (7) 114.7 (7) 113 (1) 116.7 (7) 107.8 (7) 112.7 (9) 114 (1) 108.2 (9) 115 (1) 114.3 (8) 107.4 (8)	Data collection Siemens AED diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 8108 measured reflections 7904 independent reflections 3324 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.0119$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25^{\circ}$ $h = -20 \rightarrow 20$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 13$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 26$ 1 standard reflection monitored every 50 reflections intensity variation: none
N1—Co—N2 P1—O3—P3 P1—O4—P2 P2—O7—P3 Co—P1—C4	90.9 (4) 129.5 (7) 127.3 (5) 131.9 (7)	C8-N6-C10 C2-N7-C3 C1-N7-C3 C1-N7-C2 C11-N8-C12	114 (1) 114 (1) 114 (1) 114 (1) 114 (1)	Refinement Refinement on F^2 $R[F > 4\sigma(F)] = 0.0654$ $wP(F^2) = 0.024$	Calculated weights $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1180P)^2]$
$C_0 = N_1 = C_4$ $C_0 = N_1 = C_1$ $C_1 = N_1 = C_4$	107.8 (8) 115.0 (8) 113 (1)	C11-No-C12 C10-N8-C12 C10-N8-C11	113 (1) 114 (1) 114 (1)	$WR(P^{-}) = 0.2034$ S = 1.336 7888 reflections 462 parameters	$\frac{(0.1180P)}{(\Delta/\sigma)_{max}} = -3.907$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.384 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Table 3. Geon	netric param	eters of the hydr	ogen bonds	H-atom parameters not re-	$\Delta ho_{ m min}$ = -0.584 e Å $^{-3}$

fined

formed by the cation (Å, °) of compound (I)

$$\sigma$$
(N---H) = 0.12-0.16, σ (C--H) = 0.12-0.21 Å; σ (N--H···O) = 11-
15, σ (C--H···O) = 8-14°.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	Symmetry	
N1—H1N···O11	0.88	2.96(1)	164		
$N2-H2N\cdots O6$	0.90	2.86(1)	158		
N3—H3N····O1	0.86	2.85 (2)	159	iii	
N5−H5N···O1	0.77	2.93 (1)	174	iii	
N4—H4N···O6	0.77	2.89(1)	170		
N6—H6N· · · O11	0.96	2.83 (2)	145		
C1—H11···O9	1.02	3.51 (2)	157	i	
C1-H12···O10	1.23	3.34 (2)	144		
C2—H21···O9	1.30	3.52 (2)	154		
C2—H22···O10	1.12	3.37 (2)	142	ii	
C3-H31···O8	1.02	3.31 (2)	152	iii	
C4—H41···O7	0.75	3.57 (2)	159		
C5-H52···O8	1.01	3.33 (2)	152	iii	
C6—H62···O9	1.12	3.49 (1)	147	i	
C7-H71···O2	0.98	3.26 (2)	125	iv	
C7—H72···O12	1.13	3.46 (2)	137	vii	
C8—H81···O1	0.98	3.30 (2)	142	iii	
C8—H82···O7	0.84	3.49 (1)	143	v	
C9—H91···N8	1.05	3.67 (2)	145	vi	
C9—H92···O12	0.90	3.60 (2)	156		
C10-H102···O5	0.99	3.31 (2)	134	v	
C11—H111O5	1.07	3.28 (2)	144	vii	
C12—H121···O13	1.12	3.48 (2)	131	v	
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, -z+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac$					
$\frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) x, $y + 1$, z; (v) \bar{x} , $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $-x + 1$, $\bar{y} - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$;					
(vii) - x + 1, -y, -	z + 1.				

Compound (II)

Crystal	data
---------	------

$[Co(C_9H_{27}N_7)_{0.72}(C_6H_{24}-$	$D_x = 1.7570 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$N_{6}_{0.28}$][Co(C ₉ H ₂₇ N ₇)]-	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$2(P_3O_9).8H_2O$	$\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ Å}$
$M_r = 1183.75$	Cell parameters from 30
Monoclinic	reflections
$P_{2_1/a}$	$\theta = 11 - 19^{\circ}$

Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL92 (Sheldrick, 1992). Molecular graphics: ORTEP (Johnson, 1965); PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983); ABRAHAMS (Gilli, 1977).

Extinction correction: none

Atomic scattering factors as for compound (I)

Table 4. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$ for compound (II)

$U_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
CoA	0.36734 (9)	0.2629(1)	0.37774 (7)	0.0146 (5)
P1A	0.0913 (2)	0.1968 (2)	0.6333(1)	0.0234 (7)
P2A	0.2484 (2)	0.2417 (2)	0.6731 (2)	0.0179 (10)
P3A	0.2004 (2)	0.2291 (2)	0.5448(1)	0.0197 (8)
01 <i>A</i>	0.0957 (4)	0.0684 (5)	0.6328 (4)	0.0349 (22)
O2A	0.0201 (5)	0.2518 (5)	0.6461 (5)	0.0326 (25)
O3A	0.1579 (5)	0.2488 (4)	0.6786 (4)	0.0195 (20)
04 <i>A</i>	0.1180 (5)	0.2464 (4)	0.5704 (4)	0.0192 (20)
O5A	0.2776 (4)	0.1266 (6)	0.6909 (3)	0.0334 (23)
06A	0.2840 (4)	0.3426 (6)	0.7044 (3)	0.0299 (22)
07 <i>A</i>	0.2552 (4)	0.2604 (5)	0.6024 (4)	0.0210 (19)
08 <i>A</i>	0.2107 (4)	0.1076 (6)	0.5279 (4)	0.0426 (25)
09A	0.2102 (4)	0.3188 (7)	0.4991 (3)	0.0404 (24)
N1A	0.4630 (5)	0.3418 (7)	0.3583 (4)	0.0306 (24)
N2A	0.3869 (4)	0.1602 (6)	0.3090 (4)	0.0247 (22)
N3A	0.4213 (5)	0.1572 (6)	0.4353 (4)	0.0269 (23)
N4A	0.3607 (5)	0.3679 (7)	0.4467 (4)	0.0268 (23)
N5A	0.2696 (5)	0.1885 (6)	0.3914 (4)	0.0257 (23)
N6A	0.3084 (4)	0.3745 (7)	0.3268 (4)	0.0257 (23)
N7A	0.3459 (4)	0.0100 (6)	0.3789 (4)	0.0212 (22)
C1A	0.4827 (6)	0.3048 (8)	0.2970 (5)	0.0206 (5)
C2A	0.4668 (6)	0.1776 (8)	0.2940 (5)	0.0224 (12)
C3A	0.4097 (7)	0.1971 (9)	0.4987 (5)	0.0370 (12)
C4A	0.4133 (6)	0.3273 (8)	0.4974 (5)	0.0468 (33)
C5A	0.2106 (7)	0.2325 (8)	0.3438 (6)	0.0436 (31)

REGULAR STRUCTURAL PAPERS

C6A	0.2257 (6)	0.3597 (8)	0.3385 (5)	0.0455 (31)	N3A	1.50(1)	N3B—C8B	1.48 (1)
C7A	0.3698 (6)	0.0337 (7)	0.3196 (5)	0.0528 (35)	N4A-C4A	1.48(1)	N4B	1.47(1)
C8A	0.4035 (6)	0.0312 (7)	0.4266 (5)	0.0468 (35)	N5A-C5A	1.51(1)	N5B—C5B	1.54 (1)
C9A	0.2714 (6)	0.0576 (7)	0.3910 (5)	0.0433 (32)	N5AC9A	1.51(1)	N5B—C9B	1.51 (1)
CoB	0.8672 (1)	0.2578 (1)	0.87785 (8)	0.0531 (35)	N6A-C6A	1.50(1)	N6B—C6B	1.44 (1)
P1 <i>B</i>	0.5913 (2)	0.1961 (3)	1.1331 (2)	0.0528 (35)	N7A-C7A	1.43 (1)	N7 <i>B</i> —C7 <i>B</i>	1.41 (1)
P2B	0.7487 (2)	0.2464 (2)	1.1733 (2)	0.0192 (20)	N7A-C8A	1.43 (1)	N7B—C8B	1.44 (1)
P3 <i>B</i>	0.6999 (2)	0.2369 (3)	1.0449 (2)	0.0197 (19)	N7A-C9A	1.46(1)	N7B—C9B	1.37 (1)
O1B	0.5954 (5)	0.0703 (8)	1.1329 (4)	0.0256 (22)	C1A - C2A	1.50(1)	C1B-C2B	1.50 (1)
O2B	0.5184 (6)	0.2491 (4)	1.1458 (4)	0.0311 (25)	C_{3A} — C_{4A}	1.50(1)	C3B-C4B	1.47 (1)
03 <i>B</i>	0.6586 (5)	0.2483 (4)	1.1794 (4)	0.0201 (21)	C5A - C6A	1.50(1)	C5B-C6B	1.44(1)
O4B	0.6169 (5)	0.2476 (4)	1.0710 (4)	0.0195 (21)				
05B	0 7804 (5)	0.1324(7)	1 1949 (4)	0.0461 (23)	N5A-CoA-N6A	87.0 (3)	N5B-CoB-N6B	85.9 (4)
05D 06B	0.7820 (5)	0.3502 (8)	1,2034 (4)	0.0465 (24)	N4A—CoA—N6A	89.3 (3)	N4 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N6 <i>B</i>	87.1 (4)
078	0.7555 (5)	0.2563(4)	1 1028 (4)	0.0230(21)	N4A-CoA-N5A	92.9 (3)	N4 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N5 <i>B</i>	93.1 (4)
010	0.7335 (5)	0.1082 (8)	1.0273 (5)	0.0303 (24)	N3A—CoA—N6A	174.5 (3)	N3 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N6 <i>B</i>	172.9 (4)
000	0.7099 (5)	0.1002(0)	0.9982(4)	0.0237(22)	N3A—CoA—N5A	90.7 (3)	N3 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N5 <i>B</i>	93.7 (4)
	0.0610 (6)	0.3176 (7)	0.8578 (5)	0.0237(22)	N3A—CoA—N4A	85.8 (3)	N3 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N4 <i>B</i>	85.9 (4)
NOD	0.9019 (0)	0.3430 (8)	0.8093 (5)	0.0138(22)	N2A—CoA—N6A	93.5 (3)	N2B—CoB—N6B	93.8 (4)
INZD NI2D	0.0070 (5)	0.1503 (0)	0.0075(5)	0.0138(22)	N2A—CoA—N5A	93.2 (3)	N2B—CoB—N5B	93.4 (4)
NAD	0.9228 (3)	0.1363 (8)	0.9352 (4)	0.0130(22) 0.0218(25)	N2A-CoA-N4A	173.4 (3)	N2 <i>B</i> —Co <i>B</i> —N4 <i>B</i>	173.5 (4)
IN4D	0.8380 (0)	0.3007 (3)	0.9470 (5)	0.0210(23)	N2A—CoA—N3A	91.7 (3)	N2B—CoB—N3B	93.3 (4)
NOB	0.7094 (0)	0.1630(7)	0.0090 (3)	0.0127(22)	NIA-CoA-N6A	89.4 (3)	N1B—CoB—N6B	87.4 (4)
NOB	0.8003 (0)	0.3746 (9)	0.8203 (3)	0.0220(20)	N1A-CoA-N5A	176.0 (3)	N1B—CoB—N5B	173.2 (4)
N/B	0.8438 (0)	0.0127(8)	0.8798 (3)	0.0219(20)	N1A-CoA-N4A	89.0 (4)	N1B—CoB—N4B	88.1 (4)
CIB	0.9700 (7)	0.3109(9)	0.7970 (J)	0.0371 (31)	N1A-CoA-N3A	93.0 (3)	N1B—CoB—N3B	93.1 (4)
C2B	0.9090 (0)	0.1811 (8)	0.7948 (5)	0.0259 (25)	N1A-CoA-N2A	85.1 (3)	N1B-CoB-N2B	85.5 (4)
C3B	0.9100 (6)	0.1937 (8)	0.9967 (5)	0.0250 (26)	P1AO3AP2A	127.8 (6)	P1B-O3B-P2B	128.3 (5)
C4B	0.9107 (6)	0.3213 (9)	0.9963 (5)	0.0324 (29)	P1A-04A-P3A	126.0 (5)	P1 <i>B</i> —O4 <i>B</i> —P3 <i>B</i>	126.8 (6)
C5B	0.7099(7)	0.2428 (7)	0.8444 (6)	0.0304 (32)	P2A-07A-P3A	132.9 (5)	P2B-07B-P3B	135.5 (6)
C6B	0.7262 (7)	0.3635 (10)	0.8365 (6)	0.0199 (29)	CoA - NIA - CIA	108.6 (6)	CoB-N1B-C1B	106.3 (7)
C7 <i>B</i>	0.8692 (8)	0.0336 (11)	0.8217 (7)	0.0304 (35)	CoA - N2A - C7A	114.3 (5)	CoB-N2B-C7B	111.6 (7)
C8 <i>B</i>	0.9026 (9)	0.0344 (12)	0.9270 (7)	0.0391 (40)	$C_0A - N_2A - C_2A$	108.0 (6)	$C_0B - N_2B - C_2B$	106.7(7)
C9 <i>B</i>	0.7727 (8)	0.0549 (11)	0.8909 (7)	0.0327 (35)	C2A - N2A - C7A	111.6 (7)	C2B - N2B - C7B	114.3 (9)
P1 <i>B′</i>	0.5928 (4)	0.3014 (6)	1.1340 (3)	0.0131 (13)	CoA = N3A = C8A	1157(6)	$C_0B - N_3B - C_8B$	112.9 (8)
$O1B'_{i}$	0.5936 (14)	0.4329 (21)	1.1324 (11)	0.0425 (59)	CoA = N3A = C3A	109.2 (6)	COB - N3B - C3B	1104(7)
08 <i>B′</i>	0.7114 (11)	0.1819 (16)	0.9991 (9)	0.0224 (44)	C34 - N34 - C84	112 2 (7)	C3B - N3B - C8B	109.6 (9)
O9 <i>B'</i>	0.7082 (12)	0.3863 (19)	1.0235 (10)	0.0307 (50)	CoA = N4A = C4A	109 3 (6)	$C_0B - N4B - C4B$	105.8 (7)
N1 <i>B′</i>	0.8838 (11)	0.3374 (17)	0.8093 (9)	0.0105 (42)	$C_0A = N5A = C_0A$	1148(5)	$C_0 B = N_5 B = C_9 B$	1135(7)
N2 <i>B</i> ′	0.9599 (11)	0.1550 (17)	0.8587 (9)	0.0087 (41)	CoA = N5A = C5A	107.7 (6)	$C_0B = N5B = C5B$	106.8 (7)
N3 <i>B</i> ′	0.8606 (13)	0.1348 (19)	0.9500 (10)	0.0186 (50)	C54 - N54 - C94	1101(7)	C5B = N5B = C9B	1175(8)
N4 <i>B</i> ′	0.9212 (11)	0.3457 (17)	0.9322 (9)	0.0085 (41)	$C_{0A} = N_{0A} = C_{0A}$	107.6 (6)	$C_{0}B_{-}$ N6 B_{-} C6 B_{-}	109.4 (8)
N5 <i>B</i> ′	0.7702 (11)	0.3181 (17)	0.8905 (9)	0.0109 (43)	C84 N74 $C94$	107.0(0)	C8B = N7B = C0B	109.4(0)
N6 <i>B'</i>	0.8030 (13)	0.1183 (20)	0.8296 (10)	0.0212 (52)	C74 N74 $C94$	113.2(7)	C7P N7P C9P	117(1)
C6 <i>B</i> ′	0.7220 (18)	0.1346 (28)	0.8382 (15)	0.0303 (72)	C74 N74 C94	114.7 (0)	C7P N7P C9P	117(1)
O10	0.9159 (4)	0.0631 (7)	0.1507 (4)	0.0352 (51)	C/A-N/A-C8A	114.5 (8)	C/BN/BC8B	112(1)
011	0.9135 (4)	0.4373 (7)	0.1524 (4)	0.0200 (44)				
012	0.5876 (5)	0.4086 (6)	0.4373 (4)	0.0389 (50)	Table 6. Puckerin	g coordinat	es of $P_3O_9^{3-}$ for co.	mpounds
O13	0.0780 (5)	0.3818 (8)	0.4276 (4)	0.0219 (45)	/T) -	nd (II) [col	$l_{\alpha}(\mathbf{I})$ and (\mathbf{II})	•
O 14	0.4182 (4)	0.0641 (7)	0.6477 (5)	0.0258 (48)	(1) a	na (11) [ceii	(1) <i>unu</i> (11)]	° .
O15	0.4125 (5)	0.4376 (7)	0.6547 (4)	0.0361 (52)		φ ₂ (°)	θ ₂ (°)	$Q_T(A)$
016	1.0872 (5)	0.4022 (7)	0.9375 (4)	0.0495 (57)	Compound (I)	79 (1)	92.2 (8)	0.579 (8)

Table 5. Geometric parameters (Å, °) for compound (II)

-0.0685 (4)

0.0353 (51)

Compound (II)

Cell (I) Molecule A

Cell (II) Molecule A

B

B

B'

0.3881 (8)

0.5807 (5)

017

	-		
CoA-NIA	1.978 (8)	CoB-N1B	2.01 (1)
CoA-N2A	1.981 (8)	CoB-N2B	1.95 (1)
CoA-N3A	1.962 (8)	CoB-N3B	1.93 (1)
CoA-N4A	1.963 (8)	CoB—N4B	2.00(1)
CoA-N5A	1.957 (8)	CoB-N5B	1.94 (1)
CoA-N6A	1.960 (8)	CoB-N6B	2.02 (1)
P1A-01A	1.484 (6)	P1 <i>B</i> —O1 <i>B</i>	1.455 (9)
P1A-02A	1.444 (9)	P1 <i>B</i> O2 <i>B</i>	1.46(1)
P1AO3A	1.603 (8)	P1 <i>B</i> —O3 <i>B</i>	1.626 (8)
P1A—O4A	1.609 (9)	P1 <i>B</i> —O4 <i>B</i>	1.594 (9)
P2A-03A	1.599 (9)	P2B—O3B	1.590 (9)
P2A-05A	1.469 (7)	P2B—O5B	1.495 (9)
P2A-06A	1.473 (8)	P2B	1.474 (9)
P2A-07A	1.596 (9)	P2B07B	1.58 (1)
P3A	1.598 (9)	P3 <i>B</i> —O4 <i>B</i>	1.61 (1)
P3A-07A	1.586 (8)	P3B—O7B	1.575 (9)
P3A-08A	1.467 (8)	P3B—O8B	1.55 (1)
P3A-09A	1.469 (8)	P3 <i>B</i> —O9 <i>B</i>	1.41 (1)
NIA-CIA	1.49 (1)	N1B—C1B	1.44 (1)
N2A—C2A	1.47 (1)	N2B—C2B	1.52 (1)
N2A-C7A	1.51 (1)	N2B—C7B	1.51 (1)
N3A—C3A	1.51 (1)	N3 <i>B</i> —C3 <i>B</i>	1.46 (1)

Table 7. Geometric parameters of the hydrogen bonds formed by the A and B cations $(Å, \circ)$ of compound (II)

17 (1)

173.1 (6)

-18.5(1)-12(1)

-174.1 (5)

26.3 (7)

104.4 (7)

20.6 (6)

33.2 (6)

110.4 (5)

0.435 (5)

0.49(1)

0.448 (4) 0.417 (4)

0.463 (6)

-				
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	Symmetry
$N1A - H1NC \cdot \cdot \cdot O1A$	1.02	2.82(1)	161	v
$N1A - H1ND \cdot \cdot \cdot O12$	1.10	2.81(1)	127	
$N2A - H2NA \cdot \cdot \cdot O6B$	1.02	2.88(1)	150	iv
N3A—H3NA···O13	1.02	2.79(1)	155	ii
N4A—H4NC···O9A	0.98	3.00(1)	143	
N4A—H4ND···O1A	1.08	3.04(1)	165	v
N5A—H5NA···O9A	1.11	3.07(1)	152	
N6A—H6NC···O5B	1.14	2.94 (1)	143	iv
N6A—H6ND····O1A	1.07	2.90(1)	150	v
$N1B - H1NE \cdot \cdot \cdot O1B$	1.02	2.81(1)	160	vii
N1B-H1NF···O16	0.89	2.80(1)	136	
N2 <i>B</i> —H2N <i>B</i> ···O6A	0.73	2.84 (1)	150	ii
N3B-H3NB···O17	0.77	2.82(1)	179	vi
N4B—H4NE···O9B	1.02	2.96(1)	171	
N4 <i>B</i> —H4N <i>F</i> ···O1 <i>B</i>	0.86	3.09 (2)	131	vii

REGULAR STRUCTURAL PAPERS

N4B—H4NF··	·O8B	0.86	3.11 (2)	145	vii					
N5B—H5NB· ·	•O9B	0.94	3.09 (2)	168						
N6B-H6NE··	•O1 <i>B</i>	1.02	2.94 (1)	160	vii					
N6B—H6NF··	·05A	0.63 (1)	3.02 (2)	144	ii					
Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z - 1$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (iii) $-x + 1$,										
$-y + 1$, $-z + 1$; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{1}{2}$, $z - 1$; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + 1$;										
(vi) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + 1;$ (vii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 2.$										

Compound (I) was obtained by treating $Co(sep)Cl_3$ (Bacchi, Ferranti & Pelizzi, 1993) with an equivalent amount of $Ag_3P_3O_9$ in aqueous solution; AgCl was filtered off and after slow evaporation compound (I) precipitated in crystalline form. The structure was solved and refined with standard procedures; all non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms were localized by difference Fourier maps and were refined isotropically in a full-matrix least-squares cycle except for H1N, H6N and H2O1 which did not show a steady refinement. All H atoms were held fixed in the last least-squares cycles to achieve a better convergence.

Compound (II) was prepared as for (I): a chloride complex obtained by employing a smaller amount of NH₃ and CH₂O in the step involving the formation of the ligand cage was treated with Ag₃P₃O₉. The observed threshold $I > 2\sigma(I)$ is used only for calculating Robs etc., for comparison with refinements on F. The refinement was performed with anisotropic thermal parameters for the Co, P, N and O atoms of molecule A, for the Co, P2, P3 atoms of molecule B and for the O atoms of the water molecules. The remaining atoms were refined isotropically. Methylene hydrogens, H1NC, H1NE, H4NE, H6NE, H101, H142, H151, H152 and H172 were introduced in calculated positions; the remaining amine and water H atoms were located by difference Fourier maps and held fixed in successive least-squares calculations. The z and U_{33} parameters are, in general, affected by the largest shift/e.s.d. and, in particular, unstable refinement is observed for the z coordinate of C3 and C4 of both molecules, probably due to the correlation effects introduced by pseudosymmetry.

Extensive use was made of the Cambridge Structural Database. Calculations were performed on Encore-Gould-Powernode 6040 and Encore 91 computers of the Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Parma.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71306 (82 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: AL1051]

References

- Abrahams, S. C. & Keve, E. T. (1971). Acta Cryst. A27, 157-165.
- Arkowska, A., Grazynska, E., Kubiak, R. & Paulus, H. (1989a). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 156, 175-176.
- Arkowska, A., Grazynska, E., Kubiak, R. & Paulus, H. (1989b). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 159, 153-155.
- Bacchi, A., Ferranti, F. & Pelizzi, G. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1163-1169.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Gilli, G. (1977). ABRAHAMS. Program for Calculating Half-Normal Probability Plots. Univ. di Ferrara, Italy.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1976). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

© 1993 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). SHELXL92. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

- Ugozzoli, F. (1987). Comput. Chem. 11, 109-120.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1993). C49, 1892–1895

Structure of Dicarbonyl[(8,9,11,12,13,14-η)-12,19-dimethoxypodocarpa-8,11,13-triene]thiocarbonylchromium(0)

GEORGE R. CLARK,* RICHARD C. CAMBIE, MALCOLM D. KING, P. STEWART RUTLEDGE AND PAUL D. WOODGATE*

Department of Chemistry, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

(Received 21 December 1992; accepted 26 April 1993)

Abstract

The structure determination of the title compound [dicarbonyl(η^{6} -1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-octahydro-6-methoxy-1-methoxymethyl-1,4a-dimethylphenanthrene)thiocarbonylchromium(0)] establishes that the Cr(CO)₂(CS) moiety binds to the aromatic ring from the side opposite to that of the methyl group on C12, giving the α stereoisomer. The carbonyl and thiocarbonyl groups are oriented approximately halfway between the staggered and eclipsed positions.

Comment

The title compound (I) was prepared during a study of the cyclopentaannulation of diterpenoids mediated by organotransition-metal complexes. In



view of the fact that the preferred conformation of the $Cr(CO)_3$ moiety in a related diterpenoid tricarbonylchromium complex controlled the regiochemistry of attack of cyano-stabilized carbanions